

WESTFÄLISCHE
WILHELMS-UNIVERSITÄT
MÜNSTER

ENERGIE DER ZUKUNFT

Kommission zum Monitoring-Prozess

Prof. Dr. Andreas Löschel
(Vorsitzender)

Prof. Dr. Georg Erdmann

Prof. Dr. Frithjof Staiß

Dr. Hans-Joachim Ziesing

The Energy Transition and the Politics of Coal in Germany

Prof. Andreas Löschel

Universität Münster

Head of German Expert Commission „Energy of the Future“

Co-Director „Energy Transition Hub“



ENERGY OF THE FUTURE

Commission on the Monitoring Process

Monitoring the Energy Transition


<http://www.bmwi.de/Redaktion/EN/Artikel/Energy/monitoring-implementation-of-the-energy-reforms.html>

Prof. Dr Andreas Löschel
(Chair)

Prof. Dr Georg Erdmann

Prof. Dr Frithjof Staif

Dr Hans-Joachim Ziesing




Status of the German energy transition

Dimension	Lead indicator
Mitigating climate change	Reduction in greenhouse gas emissions
Renewable energy	Increase in the share of renewable energy in gross final energy consumption
Energy efficiency	Reduction of primary energy consumption
Security of supply	Expansion of transmission grids
Affordability	End-user spending on electricity in terms of GDP
Public acceptance	General approval of goals of energy transition

Source: Löschel et al (2019)

Target attainment: ● likely ● uncertain ● unlikely

Climate Action Plan 2050 (2016) and 2030 targets




● 1990 ● 2014 ● 2030

Source: BMU

Total (MtCO₂eq.) 1248 902 543-562 (55% in 2030 → 80-95% in 2050)


First Coal Phase Out: Hard coal mining

Number of employees in German hard coal mining districts, 1945-



Source: Dierke




Germany's coal fleet: largest in EU



Commission on Growth, Structural Change and Employment

- Commission for growth, structural change and regional development appointed by the German government initiated in 2018
- consensus-oriented dialogue between stakeholders: representatives of utilities, electricity users, trade unions, local communities, NGOs, academics and state and federal governments
- Aims of the commission
 - “concrete prospects” and transition plans for lignite-mining regions → reconcile climate policy with economic growth
 - measures to ensure 2030 climate target in the energy sector (61 to 62 %)
 - roadmap and end date to phase out coal-fired power plants
- recommended that Germany phase out the use of coal for electricity by 2038 or perhaps 2035, starting with a rapid reduction in coal power plant capacity of about a third by 2022. By 2030, almost two-thirds of coal generation should have left the market.


Electricity generation from coal



- substantial economic phase out
- additional reduction necessary
- pathways achieve 2030 target
- moderate effects on wholesale prices
- Germany to become net importer

Recommendation of the Coal Commission

- commission recommends a complete coal exit by 2038, with fixed reductions in power-plant capacity by 2022 (30 GW) and 2030 (17 GW)
- coal exit timeline and compensatory measures will be reviewed in 2023, 2026 and 2029
- latter two reviews look at end date, 2032 review will consider a 2035 phaseout



Implementation determines impacts

- Negotiated phase out – or regulatory phase out w/o agreement; probably auctions for closure in the 2020s → inefficient from an economic perspective
- CO₂ pricing the most economically sensible approach for achieving climate protection targets: market-based exit via energy pricing minimises system costs
- regulatory coal phase-out without accompanying measures problematic
 - new construction/extension of gas-fired power plants and higher emissions from gas utilisation
 - utilization of remaining coal-fired power plants increases (coal rebound; Aurora: can even lead to higher emissions if hard coal is closed before lignite)
 - emissions abroad increase (EU waterbed: Aurora 40% of German reduction)
 - minimum price in EU ETS or national CO₂ price
 - certificate cancellation and market stabilisation reserve (ENavi 1300 Mt CO₂)


Impacts on electricity costs and plant owners

- electricity prices rise in all scenarios due to nuclear phase-out and reduction of overcapacities D/EU, CO₂ prices, resource prices, especially natural gas prices (ENavi 60 EUR/MWh, Aurora 57 EUR/MWh from 40 EUR/MWh)
- coal exit increases wholesale electricity price slightly compared to reference (coal price -, natural gas price +, CO₂ price -) (ENavi 2 EUR/MWh, Aurora 4 EUR/MWh)
- margins for power plant operators change due to phase-out:
 - i) higher utilization of power plants + , ii) lower CO₂ price reduces variable costs + ,
 - iii) higher electricity price + , iv) shutdown shortens power plant lifetime -
 - the longer a power plant remains in the market, the more it benefits from coal phase-out (particularly modern coal-fired and gas-fired power plants)
- undiscounted cumulative additional costs of the rapid phase-out of coal (without possible compensation payments) amount to EUR 41-106 billion in the energy system over 30 years (ENavi)

Security of Supply / Energy Security

- reduction of electricity exports and transition to net electricity imports by 2030 at the latest in all ENavi scenarios → to what extent is this tolerable (stochastic balancing effects, systemic possibilities, political agreements)?
- security of supply determined by annual peak load and Dunkelflaute (dark lull, 14 days): annual peak load rises due to increased electrification (Dena 94-160 GW) and thus demand for secured power, fewer options to cover the Dunkelflaute
- replacement of coal and nuclear energy by renewables and especially gas: by 2050 at least doubling of installed capacities necessary (ENavi 46-67 GW by 2050, DENA 55-117 GW by 2050), electricity generation from gas-fired power plants increases (ENavi 53-136 TWh, Dena 69-250 TWh incl. climate-neutral green gases)

- Example: System stability
ENavi - KAS 2035



Energy Transition - Status quo and challenges

- substantial gaps in 2020/2030: climate target and efficiency
- climate policy options
 - coal phase out as a relatively cheap option, but implementation costly
 - CO₂ pricing and energy tax reform (electricity tax, FIT, ...)
- renewable policies to be developed further (tech, grid, market price risk)
→ increase in renewable alone not sufficient to achieve CO₂ targets
- sector coupling necessary in long run (electricity, heat, transport):
electrification and Power to X (hydrogen, green gas, synthetic fuels)
- grid extension as a bottleneck (also in EU): grid charges, market splitting
- comprehensive review of the coal exit measures and their implementation in 2023, 2026 and 2029

SCHWARZES GOLD


Die Geschichte des Steinkohlenbergbaus und die Zukunftsgestaltung in den Bergbauregionen



Der große Sammelpaß für die ganze Familie.
Entdecken Sie die Geschichte des Steinkohlenbergbaus
und Perspektiven für die Zukunft in 240 Sammelbildern.

Alle Rechte vorbehalten. Alle Rechte vorbehalten. Alle Rechte vorbehalten.

WAZ KLEIN



Thank you.


Prof. Dr. Andreas Löschel
Chair of Energy and Resource Economics
University of Münster
loeschel@uni-muenster.de

We Chat: AndreasLoeschel
Twitter: @andreasloeschel

Framework for Energy Sector Target

- Commission for growth, structural change and regional development appointed by the German government
- various studies on the impact of climate targets on coal-fired power generation and power generation (e.g. Agora 2016, Aurora 2018, BDI 2018, BUND 2018, DENA 2018, DIW 2018, Enavi 2018, Öko-Institut 2017/2018)
- achievement of the sectoral objective depends on different framework conditions:
 - renewables expansion: stronger expansion of renewable energies capacities +
 - CO₂-prices: higher national or ETS-prices +
 - fuel prices: higher coal price/lower gas price +
 - power Consumption/Efficiency: Higher Power Consumption -
 - European integration (goals, instruments), interactions, balance - / +
 - determine the development of coal capacities without further intervention given economically driven closure and modernisation decisions and provide a framework for the investigation of various coal phase-out scenarios

Different Coal Phase Out Paths



- Studies on coal exit with different paths:
 - ENavi-KAL (-6 GW): exit 2050 and sector target 2030 achieved
 - ENavi-KAS (-13 GW): ambitious phase-out 2035 (Agora Energiewende, 2016)
 - Aurora-KA (-11 GW): exit 2040 and close 2 GW per year by age
 - DIW-KAM (-10 GW): 3 GW by 2020, mainly brown coal, hard coal after 2030
 - DIW-KAS (-18 GW): 7 GW by 2020, hard coal and lignite by 2030
- in all scenarios, target achievement in 2030
(for Aurora-KA only with 65% renewable energy expansion)